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Amendola, K., and G. Jones (2010). *Selecting the Best Analyst for the Job: A Model Crime Analyst Assessment Process for Law Enforcement Agencies.* Washington, D.C.: Police Foundation and U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

*A guide designed to equip police agencies to recruit, identify, and hire the most effective crime analysts. It describes the knowledge, skills, and abilities crime analysts need to work with data, interpret the results, and identify problems and solutions as members of police problem solving teams.*

Applegate, R. (2004). “Problem-Oriented Policing in Plymouth.” *Crime Prevention and Community Safety: An International Journal* 6(2): 35-50.

*A study that explores the experience of practitioners involved in the implementation of POP in an English city which led to a reduction in calls for service. While highlighting the difficulties of implementation, police and partner representatives argued that by focusing upon the operational problem, these issues could be reduced.*

Bazemore, G., and A. Cole (1994). “Police in the ‘Laboratory’ of the Neighborhood: Evaluating Problem-Oriented Policing Strategies in a Medium Sized City.” *American Journal of Police* 13(3):119-147.

*A paper that discusses POP tactics and community policing strategies in the Lawrence, Massachusetts Police Department. In the context of a medium-sized, densely populated northeastern city, this study documents the strategic process and specific tactical interventions employed in the department’s implementation of POP, and presents data which examines the initial impact of these interventions on citizen attitudes toward crime, fear, disorder, and perceptions of police.*

Beach, R., and J. O’Leary (2001). *Defensible Policies: Developing, Writing and Implementing Valid Policies for Problem Oriented Policing*. Springfield, Ill: Charles C. Thomas.

*A comprehensive book designed for police executives in preparing, implementing, and defending new policies related to POP. Authors discuss planning, writing, training, evaluating, enforcing, and managing potential litigation as it relates to POP policy.*

Berman, G., and A. Fox (2010). *Trial and Error in Criminal Justice Reform: Learning from Failure.* Washington, D.C.: Urban Institute Press.

*This book argues that public policies cannot be neatly divided into successes and failures. It critically examines programs such as D.A.R.E (Drug Abuse Resistance Education) and Operation Ceasefire (a.k.a. Boston Gun Project, 1996). Authors depict accounts and working challenges of street reformers (judges, police officers, prosecutors, parole officers, educators, and politicians) committed to varying measures of criminal justice reform.*

Bichler, G., and L. Gaines (2005). “An Examination of Police Officers’ Insights into Problem Identification and Problem Solving.” *Crime and Delinquency* 51(1):53-74.

*A study that used police focus groups to establish whether officers on their own are a reliable method to identify crime problems. It found that although officers could identify precise crime locations there was substantial variation in the locations highlighted, thereby raising doubt as to whether this approach was completely reliable.*

Bittner, E. (1990). “Some Reflections on Staffing Problem-Oriented Policing.” *American Journal of Police* 9(3):189-97.

*A reflective article highlighting the importance of a POP approach whilst signaling the difficulty surrounding implementation. Argues that more fundamental change needs to be initiated, within the fields of recruitment and training, if the approach is to be proved ultimately successful.*

Boba, R. (2003). *Problem Analysis in Policing.* Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services and the Police Foundation.

*A report that introduces and defines the concept of problem analysis. It provides guidance on how problem analysis can be integrated and institutionalized into modern policing practices. It contains a summary of ideas and recommendations of what problem analysis is, what skills and knowledge are necessary to conduct it, and how it can be advanced by the police community, academia, the federal government, and other institutions.*

Boba, R. (2009). *Crime Analysis with Crime Mapping.* 2nd ed. Los Angeles, Calif: Sage Publications.

*A book designed for an undergraduate audience that introduces crime analysis and crime mapping. Linking theory to practice, it provides a comprehensive overlay of processes, analytical techniques, modern technology, and how crime analysis fits into traditional as well as problem solving policing strategies.*

Boba, R., and J. Crank (2008). “Institutionalizing Problem-Oriented Policing: Rethinking Problem Solving, Analysis, and Accountability.” *Police Practice and Research* 9(5):1-15.

*A paper that offers a complementary problem solving model based on POP and methods to preserve officer and department integrity. Authors advocate for an integrated framework, specifically how POP can distributed throughout a police department, through expansion of accountability, essentially building upon the traditional policing model.*

Braga, A. (2001). “The Effects of Hot Spots Policing on Crime.” *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Sciences* 578:104-125.

*An article that synthesizes existing published and non-published police case studies on the effects of focused crime prevention interventions at high-activity crime places. It provides a systematic assessment of the preventative value of focused police crime prevention efforts at crime hot spots related to repeat calls, drug dealing, and gun violence in: Minneapolis, Minnesota; Jersey City, New Jersey; St. Louis and Kansas City, Missouri; and Queensland, Australia.*

Braga, A. (2005). “Analyzing Homicide Problems: Practical Approaches to Developing a Policy-Relevant Description of Serious Urban Violence.” *Security Journal* 18(4):17-32.

*A study that concludes that problem analysis is generally weak. It shows how a methodology used in a number of U.S. cities to understand homicide (documenting criminal backgrounds of victims and offenders, incident reviews, identifying gangs, criminal groups and inter-group conflict patterns) can be used in other areas.*

Braga, A. (2008). *Problem-Oriented Policing and Crime Prevention*. 2nd ed. Monsey, N.Y.: Criminal Justice Press.

*An overview of POP, review and summary of research knowledge about problem places, high-activity offenders, and repeat victims, and extended discussions of problem analysis, measuring police performance in a POP framework, measuring the process and impact of POP projects, and developing effective problem-solving partnerships.*

Braga, A. (2010). “Setting a Higher Standard for the Evaluation of Problem-Oriented Policing Initiatives.” *Criminology and Public Policy* 9(1):173-182.

*A discussion paper that describes the scarcity of evaluation in relation to POP initiatives as well as the challenges, for academics and police agencies, to improve this position.*

Braga, A., and B. Bond (2008). “Policing Crime and Disorder Hot Spots: A Randomized Controlled Trial.” *Criminology* 46(3):577-607.

*A study that looked at the impact of ‘problem solving’ approaches in Lowell Massachusetts, at 17 crime-and-disorder hot spots when compared with 17 control areas. Although acknowledging the ‘problem solving’ was shallow, the evaluation showed significant reductions in calls for service at the areas targeted. It also showed the biggest impact was through situational-crime-prevention interventions rather than arrests or social-service strategies. There was no displacement found.*

Braga, A., and G. Pierce (2005). “Disrupting Illegal Firearms Markets in Boston: The Effects of Operation Ceasefire on the Supply of New Handguns to Criminals.” *Criminology and Public Policy* 4(4):717-749.

*One of a number of publications reporting on the development, implementation and evaluation of a POP initiative to reduce firearm use among young people in Boston. The authors were involved from the planning stage and show the impact of a variety of innovative practices in relation to deterrence.*

Braga, A., D. Kennedy, E. Waring, and A. Piehl (2001). “Problem-oriented policing, deterrence, and Youth Violence: An Evaluation of Boston’s Operation Ceasefire.” *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* 38(3):195-225.

*A comparative and reflective study that evaluates Boston’s Operation Ceasefire (a.k.a. Boston Gun Project, 1996), a POP intervention aimed at reducing youth homicide and firearms violence in Boston. Utilizing comparative analysis of youth homicide trends in other major U.S. and other areas of New England, authors suggest that the intervention results were associated with significant reductions in calls for service, and gun assault incidents in Boston.*

Braga, A., D. Weisburd, E. Waring, L. Mazerolle, W. Spelman, and F. Gajewski (1999). “Problem-Oriented Policing in Violent Crime Places: A Randomized Controlled Experiment.” *Criminology* 37(3):541-579.

*A study that evaluates the effects of POP interventions in controlling violent street crime in Jersey City, New Jersey. The results of the project conclude and support past research that focused policing efforts can reduce crime and disorder at problem places without causing crime problems to displace to surrounding areas.*

Braga, A., J. McDevitt, and G. Pierce (2006). “Understanding and Preventing Gang Violence: Problem Analysis and Response Development in Lowell, Massachusetts.” *Police Quarterly* 9(1):20-46.

*An academic-police study of gang violence in Lowell, Massachusetts conducted in the context of federally-funded Project Safe Neighborhood. The project sheds important light on the nature of gang violence and implementation of specific POP responses that have been instrumental in preventing it.*

Brodeur, J. (1998). “The Assessment of Police Performance: Conclusions.” In J. Brodeur (ed.), *How to Recognize Good Policing: Problems and Issues.* Thousand Oaks, Calif.: Sage Publications.

*This conclusive chapter welds workshop papers and debates from the book. It discusses and fleshes out topics such as: interagency cooperation, tapping into line officer expertise, scope and depth of performance assessment, producing security versus repressing crime and disorder, and comparative studies of public and private policing.*

Brown, M., and A. Sutton (1997). “Problem Oriented Policing and Organizational Form: Lessons from a Victorian Experiment.” *Current Issues in Criminal Justice* 9(1):21-33.

*A study of the application of POP to drug problems by the Victoria, Australia Police and reflections on the challenges to genuine adoption of POP in a large police agency. The authors concluded that the effort to apply a POP approach to drug problems in the Victoria Police largely failed. Police managers continued to use data for tactical enforcement, rather than problem-solving, purposes. Police managers also lacked the authority and flexibility to alter the agency’s fundamental approach to tackling drug problems, which remained reactive and enforcement-focused.*

Brown, M., and J. Brudney (2003). “Learning Organizations in the Public Sector? A Study of Police Agencies Employing Information and Technology to Advance Knowledge.” *Public Administration Review* 63(1):30-43.

*An extensive study of police departments that have attempted to implement a knowledge-worker paradigm (supported by information technology) that explores the feasibility, effectiveness, and limitations of information and technology in promoting the ‘learning organization’ in the public sector.*

Buerger, M. (1994). “The Problem of Problem-Solving: Resistance, Interdependencies, and Conflicting Interests.” *American Journal of Police* 13(3):1-36.

*A qualitative description of the Minneapolis RECAP program (1987) that used a specialist unit in an attempt to solve the problems that generated repeat calls to specific addresses. It highlights the complex internal and external factors that made the initiative challenging to implement.*

Bullock, K., and N. Tilley (eds.) (2003). *Crime Reduction and Problem-Oriented Policing*. Cullompton, U.K: Willan Publishing.

*A collection of chapters by various British authors, covering an overview of POP, implementation obstacles, problem analysis, problem-specific initiatives, implementing responses to problems, evaluating POP initiatives, and the role of the national government in promoting POP.*

Bullock, K., R. Erol, and N. Tilley (2006). “The Implementation of Problem-Oriented Projects in the UK.” In K. Bullock, R. Erol, and N. Tilley (eds.), *Problem-Oriented Policing and Partnerships: Implementing an Evidence-Based Approach to Crime Reduction*. Cullompton, U.K.: Willan Publishing.

*A book examining mainly the British experience implementing POP, containing an overview of POP; a review of the history of POP in the United States and the United Kingdom; challenges in implementing POP; detailed descriptions of POP implementation in two British police agencies; an analysis of POP projects submitted to the U.K. Tilley Award program; detailed discussion of methods for improving problem scanning, analysis, response, and assessment; and a discussion of wider social, political, professional, and technological forces and trends that either promote or discourage POP.*

Bullock, K., R. Erol, and N. Tilley (eds.) (2006). *Problem-Oriented Policing and Partnerships: Implementing an Evidence-Based Approach to Crime Reduction*. Cullompton, U.K.: Willan Publishing.

*A book examining mainly the British experience implementing POP, containing an overview of POP; a review of the history of POP in the United States and the United Kingdom; challenges in implementing POP; detailed descriptions of POP implementation in two British police agencies; an analysis of POP projects submitted to the U.K. Tilley Award program; detailed discussion of methods for improving problem scanning, analysis, response, and assessment; and a discussion of wider social, political, professional, and technological forces and trends that either promote or discourage POP.*

Caeti, T. (1999). *Houston’s Targeted Beat Program: A Quasi-Experimental Test of Police Patrol Strategies*. Unpublished dissertation, Sam Houston State University.

*A Ph.D. thesis that evaluates the Houston, Texas Police Department’s Targeted Beat Program, a quasi-experimental test of police patrol strategies in the seven highest crime beats in four district substations.*

Capowich, G., and J. Roehl (1994). “Problem-Oriented Policing: Actions and Effectiveness in San Diego.” In D. Rosenbaum (ed.), *The Challenge of Community Policing: Testing the Promises.* Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage Publications.

*This book chapter focuses on POP case studies in the San Diego, California Police Department; specifically, drug dealing in an apartment complex, street robbery, and drug dealing and gang activity on a trolley line. Authors focus their research on actual behaviors of police officers when they are resolving problems, and the effectiveness of these strategies in resolving these problems.*

Capowich, G.., J. Roehl, and C. Andrews. (1995). *Evaluating Problem-Oriented Policing: Process and Outcomes in Tulsa and San Diego*. Washington, D.C: U.S. National Institute of Justice, Office of Justice Programs.

*Report on the experiences of two cities that were part of a 5-site demonstration project to address urban drug problems using a POP approach. It includes seven policy recommendations— covering involvement, training, documentation, oversight, and assessment—that could improve implementation.*

Center for Court Innovation (2010). *Daring to Fail: First-person Stories of Criminal Justice Reform.* New York: Center for Court Innovation.

*A collection of brief interviews with thirty-six criminal justice scholars and practitioners about their experiences with criminal justice reform. Some interviews specifically address reform in police agencies.*

Chamard, S. (2006). *Partnering With Businesses to Address Public Safety Problems.* Problem-Oriented Guides for Police; Problem-Solving Tools Series, No. 5. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

*A guide that addresses effective business-police crime prevention partnerships. It discusses the impact of crime against business and the roles businesses play in contributing to crime. Different forms of partnerships and strategies are presented and analyzed, along with characteristics of good and bad partnerships, and overcoming barriers. The guide concludes with examples of business-police partnerships and programs that are known to be effective, and others that are still largely untested.*

Chappell, A. (2009). “The Philosophical Versus Actual Adoption of Community Policing: A Case Study. *Criminal Justice Review* 34(1):5-28.

*The study analyses the implementation of POP in a medium-sized U.S. police agency, primarily from the perspective of the patrol officer. It highlights blockages to successful implementation, such as an inadequate level of available resources.*

Chermak, S., and A. Weiss (2003). *Marketing Community Policing in the News: A Missed Opportunity?* Research for Practice. Washington, D.C.: U.S. National Institute of Justice.

*This article examines efforts by police agencies to promote community policing in the news media. It presents results from a national survey of public information officers and media personnel in large-sized cities to examine the efforts by police agencies to promote innovative programs such as community policing in the news and document how community policing is presented in the news.*

Chermak, S., and E. McGarrell (2004). “Problem-Solving Approaches to Homicide: An Evaluation of the Indianapolis Violence Reduction Partnership.” *Criminal Justice Policy Review* 15(2):161-192.

*A study of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana’s Violent Reduction Partnership, a coalition of criminal justice and community agencies who used a problem solving approach to violence. Authors describe the program’s impact on homicide and test the effectiveness of notification meetings for high-risk probationers and parolees. The study concludes that homicide rates declined and notification meetings revealed that arrestees thought the criminal justice system was more effective at responding to crime.*

Clarke, R.V., and P. Schultze (2005). *Researching a Problem.* Problem-Oriented Guides for Police;Problem-Solving Tools Series, No. 2. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

*A technical guide that provides ways and means to for police to search for literature in order to research specific crime and quality of life problems in a POP context. Tapping academic, Internet, and library resources, the guide presents a particular sequence of steps for a researcher to take in searching for material in order to lead them as quickly as possible to the information needed.*

Clarke, R.V. (1998). “Defining Police Strategies: Problem Solving, Problem-Oriented Policing and Community-Oriented Policing.” In T. Shelley, and A. Grant (eds.), *Problem Oriented Policing: Crime-Specific Problems, Critical Issues and Making POP Work.* Washington, D.C.: Police Executive Research Forum.

*An analysis of several hundred submissions for the Herman Goldstein Award for Excellence in Problem-Oriented Policing. The author concludes that most submissions, while creative and well-written, lack sufficient precision and specificity, and offers recommendations for improvement.*

Clarke, R.V. (1999). “Herman Goldstein Award for Excellence in Problem-Oriented Policing.” In C. Solé Brito and T. Allan (eds.), *Problem-Oriented Policing: Crime-Specific Problems, Critical Issues and Making POP Work, Volume 2.* Washington, D.C.: Police Executive Research Forum.

*A summary of the 1998 award-winning projects by the police in Boston (gun violence), Nassau County, New York (fraud against the elderly), San Diego (homeless encampments; illegal taxis, thefts from auto, traffic congestion, and misuse of 911; and an open-air drug market in a commercial district), Newport News, Virginia (domestic-violence homicides), and Fresno, California (transient-related disorder).*

Clarke, R.V., and J. Eck (2005). *Crime Analysis for Problem Solvers: In 60 Small Steps.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

*A significant reference document describing the principles and techniques crime-analysis practitioners need to know to identify, analyze, address, and assess policing problems.*

Clarke, R.V., and Goldstein, H. (2002). “Reducing Theft at Construction Sites: Lessons from a Problem-Oriented Project*.*” In N. Tilley (ed.), *Analysis for Crime Prevention.* Crime Prevention Studies, Vol. 13. Monsey, N.Y: Criminal Justice Press.

*A classic yet contemporary example of an academic-police POP effort in the Charlotte-Mecklenburg, North Carolina Police Department that succinctly addresses the problem of theft of kitchen appliances stolen from houses under construction. This reflective case study serves as a prime example of how academics/researchers and multi-layered police teams can collaborate in both a structured and free-flowing environment; tapping inter-departmental and outside resources, knowledge, and methodology to cull, analyze, and produce quality data to define, address, and implement working solutions a specific, recurring problem.*

Coles, C., B. Carney, and B. Johnson (2000). “Crime Prevention Through Community Prosecution and Community Policing: Boston’s Grove Hall Safe Neighborhood Initiative.” In C. Solé Brito and E. Gratto (eds.), *Problem-Oriented Policing: Crime-Specific Problems, Critical Issues and Making POP Work, Volume 3.* Washington, D.C.: Police Executive Research Forum.

*A description of the community prosecution concept and its application in Boston.*

Connors, E., and B. Webster (2001). *Transforming the Law Enforcement Organization to Community Policing. Final Monograph.* Alexandria, Va.: Institute for Law and Justice.

*A report that incorporates information pertaining to 337 U.S. law-enforcement agencies, with an in-depth focus on four particular sites. The report outlines the organizational steps that are necessary to transform into a community-oriented police agency.*

COPS Office (n.d.) *A Problem-Based Learning Model for Training and Evaluating Police Trainees.* Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

*A training manual for a Police Training Officer (PTO) that incorporates contemporary adult educational methods and a version of problem-based learning (PBL) adapted for police in the context of Community-Oriented Policing and Problem Solving (COPPS).*

Cordner, G. (1986). “Fear of Crime and the Police: An Evaluation of a Fear-Reduction Strategy.” *Journal of Police Science and Administration* 14(3):223-233.

*An article that examines what ‘fear of crime’ is, why it is an important policing issue, and the interventions that can reduce it.*

Cordner, G. (1998). “Problem-Oriented Policing Vs. Zero Tolerance.” In T. Shelley and A. Grant (eds.), *Problem Oriented Policing: Crime-Specific Problems, Critical Issues and Making POP Work.* Washington, D.C.: Police Executive Research Forum.

*Exploration of common critiques, concerns, and challenges confronting POP; and the relationship of POP to community policing, hot-spot policing, situational crime prevention, and especially to zero-tolerance policing. Author concludes that zero-tolerance policing, as commonly practiced, is less a finely-honed tool for addressing problems and more a fundamental perversion of POP principles.*

Cordner, G., and E. Biebel (2005). “Problem Oriented Policing in Practice.” *Criminology and Public Policy* 4(2):155-180.

*A study of interviews and surveys used to measure extent of POP by individual police officers in the San Diego, California Police Department; a police agency that had 15 years of national promotion and concerted effort at implementing POP internally..*

Corsar, N., R. Brunson and E. McGarrell (2009). “Problem-Oriented Policing and Open-Air Drug Markets: Examining the Rockford Pulling Levers Deterrence Strategy.” *Crime and Delinquency* (online first).

*A study that examines the effect of a strategic, “pulling levers” intervention implemented by the Rockford, Illinois Police Department to address open-air drug markets and related crime in a specific neighborhood. The initiative was built upon previous case studies and efforts in High Point, North Carolina and Boston, Massachusetts. The study finds that Rockford’s strategy was associated with a quantitative reduction in crime, drug, and nuisance problems in the target neighborhood.*

Cosgrove, C., and M.A. Wycoff (1999). “Investigations in the Community Policing Context.” In C. Solé Brito and T. Allan (eds.), *Problem-Oriented Policing: Crime-Specific Problems, Critical Issues and Making POP Work, Volume 2.* Washington, D.C.: Police Executive Research Forum.

*A report on a survey study of how police agencies have adapted the detective function in the context of community policing, including the role of detectives in problem solving.*

Davis, G., III, and N. Daly (1992). *An Application of Community Policing Strategies: The PRIDE Patrol in St. Petersburg.* St. Petersburg, Fla.: St. Petersburg Police Department.

*A study addressing crime and disorder in a troubled inner-city public housing complex by the St. Petersburg, Florida Police Department. Utilizing a community survey juxtaposed with a program called PRIDE (Police and Residents Immobilizing a Dangerous Environment) Patrol, results of the study revealed police vastly improved community relations and cooperation along with achieving a decrease in crime and residents’ fear of crime. Examples of community-based or POP efforts specifically targeting inner-city or high crime areas in other jurisdictions are also noted.*

Eck, J. (1997). *Problem-Solving Detectives: Some Thoughts on Their Scarcity.* Paper presented at the “When the Heat’s On” Leadership Sessions to Support Problem-Oriented Policing. Seattle, Washington, May.

*An article that presents, discusses, and challenges common assumptions about detectives and investigative work, and their capacity to be problem solvers.*

Eck, J. (2002). “Learning from Experience in Problem-Oriented Policing and Situational Crime Prevention: The Positive Functions of Weak Evaluations and the Negative Functions of Strong Ones.” In N. Tilley (ed.), *Evaluating Crime Reduction Initiatives*. Crime Prevention Studies, Vol. 24. Monsey, N.Y: Criminal Justice Press.

*A paper that presents and discusses the positive and negative functions of crime prevention program evaluation design and methodology in the context of POP and situational crime prevention.*

Eck, J., and W. Spelman (1987a). “Who Ya Gonna Call? The Police as Problem-Busters.” *Crime and Delinquency* 33(1):31-52.

*A study of early POP practice in the Baltimore County, Maryland and Newport News, Virginia Police Departments. Case studies present, discuss, and evaluate street robberies around apartment complexes, disorderly youths in a public park, theft from vehicles in parking lots, and domestic violence across jurisdictions. Authors also reflect and discuss broad implications for liner officer capacity and potential in POP.*

Eck, J., and W. Spelman (1987b). *Problem-Solving: Problem-Oriented Policing in Newport News*. Washington, D.C.: Police Executive Research Forum.

*A report on the agency-wide adoption of POP in the Newport News, Virginia Police Department. Contains a review of the historical rationale for POP, summary of its core elements, description of the Newport News project, description of the problem-solving process developed in this project (the SARA model), a problem analysis guide for problem solvers, descriptions and rigorous evaluations of three major POP projects (addressing apartment burglaries, thefts from vehicles, and prostitution-related robberies), descriptions and less-rigorous assessments of fifteen additional POP projects, and a discussion of organizational implementation issues, including the need for implementation planning, general agency requirements, leadership and supervision, and integrity. The Newport News project was among the first to demonstrate that POP could be applied at all levels of the police organization as a routine aspect of its operations, although POP had not been fully institutionalized within the agency.*

Eck, J. (2002). *Assessing Responses to Problems: An Introductory Guide for Police Problem Solvers.* Problem-Oriented Guides for Police; Problem-Solving Tools Series, No. 1. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

*A guide intended to help police design evaluations to answer two important problem solving questions. Did the problem decline? If the problem did decline, did the response cause the decline? The guide requires little or no experience with assessing problem solutions, but assumes readers have basic knowledge of POP and the SARA problem solving model.*

Faggiani, D., D. Bibel, and D. Brensilber (2001). “Regional Problem Solving Using the National Incident-Based Reporting System.” In M. Reuland, C. Solé Brito and L. Carroll, *Solving Crime and Disorder Problems: Current Issues, Police Strategies and Organizational Tactics.* Washington, D.C.: Police Executive Research Forum.

*A description of how the FBI’s National Incident-Based Reporting System can and has been put to effective use in multi-jurisdictional problem analysis, including a case study from Massachusetts.*

Fleissner, D. (1997). “Community Policing Stage Assessment Model for Implementation Planning and Organizational Measurement, Final Report.” Washington, D.C.: U.S. National Institute of Justice.

*A study of the extent and difficulty of implementing and assessing community policing in the U.S. Reviews implementation models and proposes a four-stage community policing stage-assessment model. Using data from four municipal police departments, it shows how the framework can be used.*

Forrester, D., M. Chatterton and K. Pease (1988). *The Kirkholt Burglary Reduction Project, Rochdale.* London: Home Office Crime Prevention Unit.

*This study (and the one below) describe a successful POP intervention to reduce re-victimization in residential burglary on a public housing estate in the North of England.*

Forrester, D., S. Frenz, M. O’Connell, and K. Pease (1990). *The Kirkholt Burglary Prevention Project: Phase II.* Crime Prevention Unit Paper No. 23. London: Home Office.

*A paper that builds upon initial efforts of a domestic burglary initiative aimed at repeat victimization prevention at a public housing estate in Rochdale, United Kingdom. It discusses the transition from Phase I to Phase II of the project; a change from physical to social crime prevention, the development of an offender and community focus, alongside the victim focus.*

Forst, B. (1998). “Problem-Oriented Criminal Investigation.” In T. Shelley, and A. Grant (eds.), *Problem Oriented Policing: Crime-Specific Problems, Critical Issues and Making POP Work.* Washington, D.C.: Police Executive Research Forum.

*An assessment of the ways in which detectives can enhance their effectiveness by adopting a problem orientation to their work.*

Fung, A. (2001). “Accountable Autonomy: Toward Empowered Deliberation in Chicago Schools and Policing. *Politics & Society* 29(1):73-103.

*Using Chicago as a backdrop, the paper explores the similarity between the management styles of public agencies, specifically the education and police departments. Exploring the concept of empowerment, it highlights the benefits to be had when following a policy of ‘accountable autonomy.’*

Geller, W., and G. Swanger (1995). *Managing Innovation in Policing: The Untapped Potential of the Middle Manager.* Washington, D.C.: Police Executive Research Forum.

*A book designed for middle police managers implementing community policing. The authors debunk the common assumption that middle managers are roadblocks to change. Rather, they assert that they have tremendous potential to be key players in community policing. Through in-depth analysis, the book outlines and explores some of the ways that middle police managers can be deployed in order to help strengthen community policing implementation efforts.*

Glover, R. (2002). *Community and Problem-Oriented Policing in School Settings: Design and Process Issues*. New York: Columbia School of Social Work.

*The study reviews five problem-solving approaches to improve safety at schools, and assesses the most critical components as good police/school partnerships, collaborative problem solving, and evaluation.*

Goldstein, H. (1979). “Improving Policing: A Problem-Oriented Approach.” *Crime and Delinquency* 25(2):236-258.

*The original article describing and proposing POP as a framework for improving the quality of police service.*

Goldstein, H. (1987). “Toward Community-Oriented Policing: Potential, Basic Requirements, and Threshold Questions.” *Crime & Delinquency* 33(1):6-30.

*A visionary article that describes the birth, concept, and future of Community-Oriented Policing. The author outlines minimum requirements and presents four tough questions that need to be addressed in order for full development of the concept, which can essentially provide a dominate framework to which all future improvements in policing are linked.*

Goldstein, H. (1990). *Problem-Oriented Policing.* New York: McGraw-Hill.

*The definitive work on POP. Reviews the basis and need for POP, the basic elements, early experiences with implementation of POP, and extensive discussions of identifying problems, analyzing problems, and developing new responses to problems. A chapter on management addresses the role for line officers, managing officers’ time, leadership and supervision needs, decentralizing police operations, research and planning, police officer performance assessment, recruiting and hiring, training, developing new information sources and networks, and specialized versus generalist problem-solving approaches.*

Goldstein, H. (2003). “On Further Developing Problem-Oriented Policing: The Most Critical Need, the Major Impediments, and a Proposal.” In J. Knutsson (ed.), *Problem-Oriented Policing: From Innovation to Mainstream*. Crime Prevention Studies, Volume 15. Monsey, N.Y.: Criminal Justice Press.

*A paper that discusses POP, its current state, and its permutations; how police agencies currently put it into practice. The work advocates and presents succinct ideas and proposals on how POP can be further developed, centered on police commitment and resources to continuously making careful and rigorous analyses, implementation, and evaluation of pieces of its business.*

Goldstein, H., and C. Susmilch (1982a). *The Drinking Driver in Madison: A Study of the Problem and the Community’s Response.* Development of Problem-Oriented Policing Series, Vol. II. Madison, Wisc.: Law School, University of Wisconsin.

*A study as part of the second installment of a series of early academic-police collaborative efforts, in this case which address the problem of drunk driving in the City of Madison, Wisconsin. The study presents qualitative and quantitative data on drunk driving’s impact on the community and the criminal justice system, and presents specific proposals aimed at investigation, education, monitoring, and deterrence.*

Goldstein, H., and C. Susmilch (1982b). *Experimenting with the Problem-Oriented Approach to Improve Police Service: A Report and Some Reflections on Two Case Studies*. Development of Problem-Oriented Policing Series, Vol. IV. Madison, Wisc.: Law School, University of Wisconsin.

*A summary/reflections report as part of the fourth and last installment of a series of early academic-police collaborative efforts in the City of Madison, Wisconsin. It discusses POP methods employed in two projects addressing the problems of drunk driving and repeat sexual offenders, and what was learned in this effort to develop the POP approach.*
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*This case study focuses on the Spokane Police Department, between 1975-1997, as it embraced a community policing approach. The reform concentrated around a new vision, police management, operations, support services, and external relationships.*

Schmerler, K., M. Perkins, S. Phillips, T. Rinehart, and M. Townsend (2002). *Problem-Solving Tips: A Guide to Reducing Crime and Disorder Through Problem-Solving Partnerships.* U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

*A reference guide for implementing a problem solving approach. These methods involve analyzing groups of related incidents that comprise a specific crime problem so that comprehensive, tailored strategies to prevent future crime can be developed. The guide also contains information and insights into the process and describes a step-by-step process for solving problems, offering examples of problem solving from the field, along with additional resources.*

Scott, M. (2000). “Appendix A: An Analysis of the Best Submissions for the Herman Goldstein Award for Excellence in Problem-Oriented Policing, 1993-1999.” In *Problem-Oriented Policing: Reflections on the First 20 Years*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing.

*An analysis of the quality of 100 of the best POP projects recognized in the Herman Goldstein Award for Excellence in Problem-Oriented Policing from 1993-1999. The author concludes that police problem solvers employ a wide range of response strategies, often in combination to a wide range and scale of problem types; problem analysis and assessment are generally weak, but improving; research inadequately informs POP projects; and police managers are not often involved in POP projects.*

Scott, M. (2000). *Problem-Oriented Policing: Reflections on the First 20 Years*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing.

*A review of the basic principles of POP, the relationship of problem solving to traditional policing functions, the relationship of POP to other policing organizational strategies, major challenges to advancing POP, a discussion of how to evaluate POP, an analysis of award-winning POP projects, summaries of interviews with POP experts, and extensive references.*

Scott, M. (2003). “Getting the Police to Take Problem-Oriented Policing Seriously.” In J. Knutsson (ed.), *Problem-Oriented Policing: From Innovation to Mainstream*. Monsey, N.Y: Criminal Justice Press.

*A paper that discusses how police agencies can implement and effectively utilize POP. It begins by articulating what full integration of POP into routine police operations might look like. It then presents one framework for integrating the principles and methods of POP into the whole police function. The paper also explores the particular skill sets and knowledge bases that will be essential to the practice of POP within police agencies and across the police profession. Finally, it explores the perspectives of those who critically evaluate police performance, and considers ways to modify those perspectives and expectations consistent with POP.*

Scott, M. (2004). *Burglary of Single-Family Houses in Savannah, Georgia*. A Final Report to the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services on the Field Applications of the Problem-Oriented Guides for Police Project.

*A report that describes an action research project undertaken by the Savannah, Georgia Police Department (now the Savannah-Chatham Metropolitan Police Department) to examine, with assistance from a consultant, the problem of burglary of single-family houses. Utilizing a POP approach, report presents major recommendations and significant findings related to this problem that can be utilized by other police agencies when addressing this issue.*

Scott, M. (2004). *Loud Car Stereos in Savannah, Georgia*. A Final Report to the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services on the Field Applications of the Problem-Oriented Guides for Police Project.

*A report that describes an action research project undertaken by the Savannah, Georgia Police Department (now the Savannah-Chatham Metropolitan Police Department) to examine, with assistance from a consultant, the problem of loud car stereos. Utilizing a POP approach, the report presents significant findings related to this problem that can be utilized by other police agencies when addressing this issue.*

Scott, M. (2006). “Implementing Crime Prevention: Lessons Learned From Problem-Oriented Policing Projects.” In J. Knutsson and R.V. Clarke (eds.), *Putting Theory to Work: Implementing Situational Crime Prevention and Problem-Oriented Policing*. Crime Prevention Studies, Vol. 20. Monsey, N.Y.: Criminal Justice Press.

*A paper that addresses POP from an outcome analysis, some initiatives succeed and some fail. Drawing upon research literature and specific POP case studies, the paper identifies and discusses why action plans do or do not get implemented. It presents five important variables or factors that help explain POP success or failure.*

Scott, M., and H. Goldstein (2005). *Shifting and Sharing Responsibility for Public Safety Problems.* Problem-Oriented Guides for Police; Response Guide Series No. 3. Washington, D.C.: Center for Problem-Oriented Policing and U.S. Department of Justice Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

*A guide that details the ways in which police can persuade stakeholders to address crime and disorder problems. It focuses on problems that police accept as falling within their proper mandate and that they feel obliged to address. Moreover, it examines how police can get others to respond to such problems, regardless of the form that such responses may take, provided that they do not violate basic standards of propriety and legality.*

Scott, M., and R.V. Clarke (2000). “A Review of Submissions for the Herman Goldstein Award for Excellence in Problem-Oriented Policing.” In C. Solé Brito and E. Gratto (eds.), *Problem-Oriented Policing: Crime-Specific Problems, Critical Issues and Making POP Work, Volume 3.* Washington, D.C.: Police Executive Research Forum.

*A summary of two separate studies of the content of submissions to the Herman Goldstein Award for Excellence in Problem-Oriented Policing.*

Scrivner, E. (2006) *Innovations in Police Recruitment and Hiring: Hiring in the Spirit of Service.* Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

*A report that details and presents working case studies and methodology on contemporary recruiting and hiring of police personnel consistent with requirements of community policing.*

Seagrave, J. (1992). “Community Policing and the Need for Police Research Skills Training.” *Canadian Police College Journal* 16(3):204-11.

*The paper reviews community policing in Canada and argues for more research-skills training to support the approach.*

Sherman, L. (1998). *Evidence-Based Policing.* Ideas in American Policing Series. Washington, D.C.: Police Foundation.

*An article that argues that police practices should be based on scientific evidence about what works best. It asserts that Evidence-Based Policing is a flowing procedural model akin to medical community practices; based upon literature, best evidence, guidelines, outputs, outcomes, and in-house evaluations.*

Sherman, L., D. Gottfredson, D. MacKenzie, J. Eck, P. Reuter, and S. Bushway (1997). *Preventing Crime: What Works, What Doesn’t, What’s Promising*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs.

*A report to U.S. Congress that concludes effectiveness of U.S. Department of Justice funding depends heavily on whether it is directed to the urban neighborhoods where youth violence is heavily concentrated. Authors conclude that substantial reductions in national rates of serious crime can only be achieved by prevention in areas of concentrated poverty.*

Sherman, L., M. Buerger, and P. Gartin (1989). *Repeat Call Address Policing: The Minneapolis RECAP Experiment.* Washington, D.C.: Crime Control Institute.

*A study that examines calls for service records of the 500 most active addresses in Minneapolis, Minnesota. The project utilized a POP strategy to advocate use of various non-arrest tactics at problem addresses, and analyzes how police responded to these changes.*

Silverman, E. (2006). “Compstat’s Innovation.” In D. Weisburd, and A. Braga (eds.). *Police Innovation: Contrasting Perspectives.* Cambridge, U.K.; New York: Cambridge University Press.

*This book chapter that discusses Compstat’s many facets, its origins, the reasons for and the nature of its replication in numerous versions and venues, and it’s positive strengths as well as its drawbacks.*

Skogan, W., S. Hartnett, J. DuBois, J. Comey, M. Kaiser, and J. Lovig (1999). *On the Beat: Police and Community Problem Solving.* Boulder, Colo.: Westview Press.

*A study of collaborative community and police problem solving initiatives throughout the City of Chicago, Illinois. The city developed a five-step problem solving model to address specific problems in 15 diverse beat neighborhoods. Utilizing a crime analysis triangle, residents and police identified chronic crime and quality of life problems. The police implemented a decentralized patrol program, moving away from a call-driven environment into teams of police officers tasked with addressing all problems in a specific area. The method subsequently allowed for closer association with residents and the ability to act upon a broad range of problems.*

Sollund, R. (2007). “The Implementation of Problem-Oriented Policing in Oslo, Norway: Not Without Problems?” In K. Froeling (ed.), *Criminology Research Focus.* New York: Nova Science Publishers.

*This book chapter explores attempts to implement POP in Oslo, Norway. Based on fieldwork and extensive officer interviews, it provides several reasons (lack of understanding, police culture, leadership), as to why the approach was resisted.*

Sousa, W., and G. Kelling (2006). “Of ‘Broken Windows,’ Criminology, and Criminal Justice.” In D. Weisburd, and A. Braga (eds.). *Police Innovation: Contrasting Perspectives.* Cambridge, U.K.; New York: Cambridge University Press.

*This book chapter provides in-depth analysis and dialogue on developments of the “Broken Windows” theory. Authors elaborate and bring reader up to speed since publication of Kelling’s original article (1982). They discuss and present examples of how the metaphor has been woven into New York City Police Department practices. Authors argue that “Broken Windows” is essentially a robust policy option for criminal justice agencies and communities.*

Steinberg, J. (2004). *Sector Policing on the West Rand*. Monograph 110. Pretoria, South Africa: Institute for Security Studies.

*A study that examines how sector policing has been interpreted and implemented in three police precincts in West Rand, South Africa. Sector policing strategy brings together community policing and POP as its core, definitional components, includes targeted patrolling and risk factor identification, and encompasses both organizational and cultural changes.*

Stevens, J. (2001). *Intelligence-led Policing.* Paper presented to the 2nd World Investigation of Crime Conference, Durban, South Africa. Cited in J. Ratcliffe (2008) *Intelligence-Led Policing*, Cullompton, U.K.: Willan Publishing. *See Ratcliffe (2008).*

*A paper presented at a police conference that describes the evolution of policing methods and the importance of Intelligence-led policing in the 21st Century; essentially a universal tool to address terrorism, but also a method to decrease crime and improve quality of life, against the right people and for the right reasons.*

Stokes, R., N. Donahue, D. Caron, and J. Greene (1996). *Safe Travel to and from School: A Problem-Oriented Policing Approach.* Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice.

*This study focuses on a POP-based approach to reduce school-related violence to and from schools in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. It explains poor outcomes on violence taking place outside the targeted zone, as well as the reluctance of some students to engage with the program.*

Stone, S. (1993). *Problem-Oriented Policing Approach to Drug Enforcement: Atlanta as a Case Study*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Emory University.

*This Ph.D. thesis explores POP-focused drug enforcement in Atlanta, Georgia. Four public housing communities plagued with high levels of crime and drug activity were chosen as intervention and control sites. Study revealed that combined control sites experienced an increase in violent crime and drug arrests while combined intervention sites had a decrease.*

Thacher, D. (1999*). National COPS Evaluation Organizational Change Case Study: Riverside, California*. Washington, D.C.: Urban Institute.

*A study that reviews comprehensive police reform measures in and history of the Riverside, California Police Department; before, during, and after the administration of Police Chief Ken Fortier.*

Thorne, C. (2003). *Implementation of Community Policing within the Brisbane Metropolitan North Police Region: Issues and Problems*. Unpublished dissertation, School of Justice Studies, Queensland University of Technology.

*This Ph.D. thesis outlines the implementation of community policing in Brisbane, Australia, focusing upon the implementation of community officers, police-community partnerships, and problem solving. Using an extensive range of police/public surveys, it argues the approach requires changes in both police and community paradigms.*

Tilley, N. (1999). “The Relationship Between Crime Prevention and Problem-Oriented Policing.” In C. Solé Brito and T. Allan (eds.), *Problem-Oriented Policing: Crime-Specific Problems, Critical Issues and Making POP Work, Volume 2.* Washington, D.C.: Police Executive Research Forum.

*A review and comparison of the principles of POP and situational crime prevention and description of how the concepts were being practiced in the United Kingdom at the time. Author concludes that the two concepts are complementary, with situational crime prevention helping POP address crime problems more effectively, and POP providing situational crime prevention an influential institutional setting in which to be practiced.*

Tilley, N., and M. Hopkins (1998). *Business as Usual: An Evaluation of the Small Business and Crime Initiative.* Police Research Series Paper 95. London: Home Office Policing and Reducing Crime Unit.

*This study that addresses crime against small businesses in two areas in Leicester, United Kingdom. It describes a problem solving approach focused on: chronic victimization surveys, stakeholder meetings, improved reporting processes, and dissemination of tailored literature and proactive aids for potential victims.*

Tita, G., K.J. Riley, G. Ridgeway, C. Grammich, A. Abrahamse, and P. Greenwood. (2003). *Reducing Gun Violence: Results from an Intervention in East Los Angeles*. Santa Monica, Calif.: RAND.

*A study by a non-profit think tank, based on the Boston Gun Project (a.k.a. Operation Ceasefire, 1996), that evaluates police initiatives directed at violent crime, gang crime, and gun crime in a 15-square-mile area east of downtown Los Angeles, California. Study concludes that the initiative succeeded in reducing target crimes in the target area, along with getting decentralized criminal justice organizations to focus their resources in a single area.*

Townsley, M., S. Johnson, and K. Pease (2003). “Problem Orientation, Problem Solving and Organizational Change.” In J. Knutsson (ed.), *Problem-Oriented Policing: From Innovation to Mainstream.* Monsey, N.Y.: Criminal Justice Press.

*An article that focuses on the factors that have limited police implementation of POP. It utilizes a two-prong focus of analysis, those inhibiting the problem orientation of the organization and those inhibiting the problem solving of police officers. Key principles of successful organizational changed are discussed, drawing upon relevant psychological literature. Solutions for overcoming implementation problems are presented, based on the principles identified in the change management literature.*

Tuffin, R., J. Morris, and A. Poole (2006). *An Evaluation of the Impact of the National Reassurance Policing Programme.* London: Home Office Research.

*A study that reviewed a UK approach to implementing neighborhood policing (using a methodology which has some similarity to the Chicago CAPS program). It was carefully evaluated showing how a focus on community priorities coupled with a problem-solving approach can improve citizen satisfaction).*

Uchida, C., and C. Putnam (2001). *Evaluating Problem Solving in Colorado Springs: The 1999 School-Based Partnership Program*. Silver Spring, Md: 21st Century Solutions Inc.

*A report by a private consulting firm that evaluates problem solving projects by the Colorado Springs, Colorado Police Department in five diverse area high schools. Issues addressed include any type of crime or disorder problem related to and around a secondary education institution. Through field observations and interviews, along with analysis of school and police data, report describes implementation of the problem solving model and discusses possible impacts of the project on schools and police.*

Uchida, C., M. Wells, S. Solomon, et al. (2009). *Assessing the Las Cruces Police Department: A Review of Community Perceptions and Police Operations and Procedures*. Silver Spring, Md: Justice & Security Strategies, Inc.

*A report by a private consulting firm that examines policies and procedures related to use of force and community relations in the Las Cruces, New Mexico Police Department. Methodology encompassed police and citizen interviews and surveys, field observation, town meetings, and policy and procedure data analysis. Authors recommend measures and methods to reduce use of force incidents, re-instatement of Community-Oriented Policing, and accountability practices to address police expose to litigation.*

Walker, S., and A. Mills (1999). “Citizens in the POP Process: How Much Is Too Much?” In C. Solé Brito, and T. Allan (eds.), *Problem-Oriented Policing: Crime-Specific Problems, Critical Issues and Making POP Work, Volume 2.* Washington, D.C.: Police Executive Research Forum.

*A reflection by a research scholar and a police practitioner on problems presented by involving citizens in the problem-solving process, including how citizens and police can manipulate one another or otherwise take improper advantage of their working relationship.*

Watson, T. S., and C. Huneycutt (1999). “Observation and Analysis of Problem Solving in Police Departments and Schools Across the United States.” In C. Solé Brito and E. Gratto, (eds.), *Problem-Oriented Policing: Crime-Specific Problems, Critical Issues and Making POP Work, Volume 3.* Washington, D.C.: Police Executive Research Forum.

*Reflections on the application of problem solving in schools and how to promote it among police officers, including discussion of the supervisor’s role.*

Webster, B., S. Wallace, J. T. McEwen, J. Eck, and D. Hill (1989). *Evaluation of Community/Crime Problem Resolution Through Police Directed Patrol. Final Report.* Alexandria, Va: Institute for Law and Justice.

*A report that evaluates the Baltimore County, Maryland Police Department’s use of patrol officers to conduct POP.*

Weisburd, D., and J. Eck (2004). “What Can Police Do to Reduce Crime, Disorder, and Fear?” *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 593:42-65.

*An article that reviews research on police ability to effectively respond to crime, disorder, and fear. It seeks to challenge the standard model of policing; preventative patrol, emergency response, and criminal investigation. In discussing a knee-jerk strategy which simply emphasizes hiring more officers, authors argue that more focused and locally tailored responses to specific problems are more likely to be effective. They explain the modern assortment of resource and technology tools available to the police can reinforce their effectiveness in dealing with crime, disorder, and fear by suppressing, deterring, and avoiding displacement.*

Weisburd, D., and L. Green (1995). “Policing Drug Hot Spots: The Jersey City Drug Market Analysis Experiment.” *Justice Quarterly* 12(4):711-735.

*A paper that reports the results of a randomized experimental evaluation of an innovative drug enforcement strategy developed as part of the Drug Market Analysis Program in the City of Jersey City, New Jersey. Strategy included computer mapping techniques to identify “hot spots,” engaging citizens and businesses, police crackdowns, and a line officer-assisted maintenance program. Results suggest a “diffusion of benefits” around experimental area as compared to control locations.*

Weisburd, D., C. Telep, J. Hinkle, and J. Eck (2010). “Is Problem-Oriented Policing Effective in Reducing Crime and Disorder? Findings from a Campbell Systematic Review.” *Criminology and Public Policy* 9(2):139-172.

*An analysis of thousands of evaluations of POP projects, applying rigorous methodological criteria. This study concluded that few evaluations of POP projects meet high methodological standards, but those that do show a modest, statistically significant impact on the targeted problems, and those meeting a less rigorous methodological standard show an overwhelmingly positive impact of POP. Authors conclude that police commitment to POP is justified, but the evaluation of POP projects is greatly in need of improvement. The three works cited below comment on and critique this study’s methods, findings, and conclusions.*

Scott, M. (2010). “Evaluating the Effectiveness of Problem-Oriented Policing.” *Criminology and Public Policy* 9(1):135-137.

Tilley, N. (2010). “Whither Problem-Oriented Policing.” *Criminology and Public Policy* 9(1):183-195.

Braga, A. (2010). “Setting a Higher Standard for the Evaluation of Problem-Oriented Policing Initiatives.” *Criminology and Public Policy* 9(1):173-182.

Weisburd, D., N. Morris and J. Ready (2008). “Risk-focused Policing at Places: An Experimental Evaluation.” *Justice Quarterly* 25(1):163-200.

*A paper that presents findings from an academic-police experiment to reduce juvenile delinquency in Redlands, California. Police use a risk and protective factors approach developed by academics combined with POP and community policing tactics. Study reveals that risk-focused policing did not consistently reduce problematic behavior, or impact upon student perceptions of risk and protective factors, however juveniles overall had strongly positive attitudes toward police.*

Weisel, D. (1990). “Playing the Home Field: A Problem-Oriented Approach to Drug Control.” *American Journal of Police*, 9(1):75-95.

*A study of POP approaches to addressing drug dealing and related problems, looking beyond individual sellers, by police agencies in San Diego, California; Atlanta, Georgia; Tulsa, Oklahoma; Tampa, Florida; and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.*

Weisel, D. (2004a). *Residential Speeding in Raleigh, North Carolina.* A Final Report to the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services on the Field Applications of the Problem-Oriented Guides for Police Project.

*This report discusses the problem of speeding in school zones by the Raleigh, North Carolina Police Department. After careful analysis, police employed responses revolving around driver education of likely speeders.*

Weisel, D. (2004b). *Street Prostitution in Raleigh, North Carolina*. A Final Report to the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services on the Field Applications of the Problem-Oriented Guides for Police Project.

*This report addresses long standing street prostitution near the central business district by the Raleigh, North Carolina Police Department. In this project the police use a two-pronged approach through traditional and non-traditional means. They focus on tactics to detect and discourage male customers and assist female prostitutes in leaving the business.*

Weiss, D. (2010). *The Evolution of Community Policing from Theory to Implementation: A Process Evaluation.* Lewiston, New York: The Edwin Mellen Press.

*A book based on a doctoral dissertation that reviewed the community policing literature, the progress made implementing it in U.S. police agencies. The study includes detailed case studies of the adoption of community policing in San Diego; Chicago; Newark, New Jersey; and Lowell, Massachusetts, Police Departments.*

Wells, W. (2009). “Community Partnerships.” In E. Maguire and W. Wells (eds.), *Implementing Community Policing: Lessons from 12 Agencies.* Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

*A comprehensive study that explores implementation of community policing in 12 police agencies throughout the U.S. Researchers observe, measure, and draw conclusions from tangible and visible phenomena about what community policing means to agencies claiming to practice it.*

White, M.B. (2008). *Enhancing the Problem-Solving Capacity of Crime Analysis Units.* Problem-Oriented Guides for Police;Problem-Solving Tools Series, No. 9. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

*A guide intended to help police managers ensure that crime analysts are properly inducted into a police environment dedicated to POP, and that their analytical work is fully integrated into departmental operations. Analysts have special technical skills and knowledge in analyzing computerized crime data and utilizing GIS systems, uncommon from a line officer. The guide therefore emphasizes the proper role of crime analysts as central members for team problem solving projects.*

White, M.D., J. Fyfe, S. Campbell, and J. Goldkamp (2003). “The Police Role in Preventing Homicide: Considering the Impact of Problem-Oriented Policing on the Prevalence of Murder.” *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* 40(2):194-225.

*An article that examines a joint effort by the Bureau of Justice Assistance and the City of Richmond, California to apply POP to homicide work. The findings show that, using this approach, homicide changed in nature and pattern. These results were unique when compared with 75 other Californian cities. It concludes by arguing homicide prevention is a critical police responsibility.*

Williams, P., P. White, M. Teece, and R. Kitto (2001). *Problem-Oriented Policing: Operation Mantle – A Case Study.* Canberra: Australian Institute of Criminology.

*A study of intelligence-led, statistical evaluation of drug enforcement conducted by the Adelaide, South Australia Police. The project utilized an approach centered on local accountability and criminal intelligence that the task force used to arrest low- to mid-level drug dealers. Authors conclude that the operation had a stabilizing rate on growth of drug-related crime.*

Willis, J., S. Mastrofski, and T. R. Kochel (2010). *Maximizing the Benefits of Reform: Integrating Compstat and Community Policing in America.* Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

*A report that summarizes findings from the first national study on Compstat and community policing. It suggests that these two reforms operate relatively independently from each other. One had little effect on the other; however one compensated for the limitations of the other. Their simultaneous operation also helped police agencies respond to a broader set of goals and to engage in a wider variety of tasks than had they implemented just one reform. The report suggests that community policing is a more multifaceted, flexible, and diverse approach than Compstat.*

Wilson, J., E. Dalton, C. Scheer, and C. Grammich (2010). *Police Recruitment and Retention for the New Millennium: The State of Knowledge.* Santa Monica, California: RAND Corporation.

*A study by a non-profit think tank that addresses maintenance of police workforce levels in the face of diminishing sources of recruitment, increasing causes for attrition, and broadening police responsibilities.*

Wycoff, M., and W. Skogan (1993). *Community Policing in Madison: Quality from the Inside Out. An Evaluation of Implementation and Impact*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. National Institute of Justice.

*A report that evaluates the efforts of the Madison, Wisconsin Police Department to create a new organizational design (structural and managerial) to support POP and community policing.*

Zanin, N., J. Shane, and R.V. Clarke (2004). *Reducing Drug Dealing in Private Apartment Complexes in Newark, New Jersey.* A Final Report to the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services on the Field Applications of the Problem-Oriented Guides for Police Project.

*This report details feasible POP interventions in problematic apartment complexes in the City of Newark, New Jersey. The project enlists the POP guide “Drug Dealing in Privately Owned Apartment Complexes.” Authors also utilize the SARA model to identify these complexes, carefully analyze police data and tap their street knowledge, choose intervention sites, and design, implement responses, and assess the interventions.*

**Studies on Implementing Problem-Oriented Policing by Main Subject**

**General Overviews of POP**

Braga (2008); Buerger (1994); Bullock and Tilley (2003); Bullock, Erol, and Tilley (2006); Cordner (1998); Eck and Spelman (1987a, 1987b); Goldstein (2003, 1990, 1987, 1979); Goldstein and Susmilch (1982c); Read and Tilley (2000); Scott (2000); Weisburd and Eck (2004); Weisel (1990).
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Kirby & McPherson (2004); Ratcliffe (2008); Sherman (1998); Silverman (2006); Sousa and Kelling (2006); Stevens (2001); Tilley (1999); Willis, Mastrofski, and Kotchel (2010).
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Glover (2002); Greene and Stokes (1998); Mazerolle and Terrill (1997); Watson and Huneycutt (1999).

**Studies of the Effectiveness of Problem-specific Initiatives**
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**Studies of Police Officer Attitudes Toward and Skills in POP**

Bichler and Gaines (2005); Cordner and Biebel (2005); Hamilton, Jr. (2004); Lumb and Breazeale (2003).
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Kessler (1993); McEwen et al. (2003); Queensland (Australia) Criminal Justice Commission (1998); Read, Tilley, and White (1999); Sherman, Buerger, and Gartin (1989).
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