


THE HOPWOOD TRIANGLE



PC 1972 Gareth Pearson
PC 1842 Steve Armes

| <u>CONTENTS</u> | <u>PAGE</u> |
|---|--------------------|
| SUMMARY | 2 |
| 1. SCANNING | 3 – 4 |
| 2. ANALYSIS | 5 – 10 |
| 3. RESPONSE | 11 – 17 |
| 4. ASSESSMENT | 18 – 22 |
| AGENCY & OFFICER INFORMATION | 22(i) |
| APPENDICES | 23 - 36 |

FIGURES & TABLES

FIGURES

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------|----|
| 2.1 | Problem Analysis Triangle | 8 |
| 3.1 | The 'Master Plan' | 13 |
| 3.2 | Fear of Crime Matrix | 14 |
| 3.3 | Litter Pick Day | 17 |
| 4.1 | Crime Figures Graph | 19 |

TABLES

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|----|
| 2.1 | Crime figures for 2001 | 6 |
| 2.2 | Police Calls to Service for 2001 | 7 |
| 2.3 | Arrest figures for 2001 | 7 |
| 2.4 | Repeat Victimisation figures for 2001 | 7 |
| 4.1 | Crime Figures 2001/2003 | 19 |
| 4.2 | Police Calls to Service 2001/2003 | 20 |
| 4.3 | Arrest Figures 2001-2003 | 20 |
| 4.4 | Repeat Victimisation Figures 2003 | 20 |
| 4.5 | Questionnaire Results | 22 |

APPENDICES

| | | | |
|------------|---|----------------------------------|---------|
| Appendix A | - | 'Master Plan' | 24 |
| Appendix B | - | Council Owned Properties | 25 |
| Appendix C | - | Spiral of Decline | 26 |
| Appendix D | - | Fly Tipping | 27 |
| Appendix E | - | Community & Partner Engagement | 28 |
| Appendix F | - | 'Master Plan' Actions | 29 |
| Appendix G | - | Before & After | 30 |
| Appendix H | - | Fencing/Railings Securing Access | 31 |
| Appendix I | - | S.R.B. Funded Avenham Renewal | 32 |
| Appendix J | - | Local Lettings Policy | 33 |
| Appendix K | - | Resident Questionnaire | 34 - 36 |

The Hopwood Triangle – Summary

The 'Hopwood Triangle' is a Preston City Council owned development of 91 dwellings. Located close to the city centre and two main arterial routes, the area has seen no capital investment whilst neighbouring areas have enjoyed a renaissance with the injection of millions of pounds of Government money.

The area had slipped into a spiral of decline with an increase in damaged properties, burglary, prostitution and anti-social behaviour. The council work force were afraid to visit the area and gradually the estate became more run down, the hedgerow massively overgrown and the street furniture and lighting damaged beyond use. A homeless hostel on the area, Millbank Court, was increasingly blamed for the neighbourhood's problems.

Tenants who were fearful of crime surrendered their tenancies, the number of damaged and unoccupied dwellings grew to such an extent that by the end of 2001 a third of all properties were unoccupied and it was proving impossible to let the vacated dwellings. Remaining tenants were increasingly apathetic to criminal and anti-social behaviour.

Initiated in January 2002 the 'Hopwood Triangle' was a multi-agency approach designed to deliver long-term and sustainable changes and improvements. In partnership with Preston City Council Central Housing and Parks departments, Millbank Court and the local community, a range of responses were developed to meet project objectives which included:

- Funding streams identified and bid submitted
- £130000 funding secured from Neighbourhood Renewal Fund
- Crime Prevention/Safer by Design survey conducted resulting in a 'Masterplan'
 - External domestic lighting
 - Fencing/Railings
 - CCTV – monitored at Millbank Court
 - Grounds maintenance and Landscaping
 - Demolition of lock-up garages
 - Restriction of access
- Identification and eviction of problem tenants
- Targeted enforcement of offenders
- Formation of Residents Association and Neighbourhood Forum
- Establishment of 'local lettings policy'
- Formation of Neighbourhood Watch
- Improvement of relationship and working practices at Millbank Court
- Operation Kerb/Safer Sex Works – targeting prostitution

Assessment was based on a project life of two years and set against project objectives:

| | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---|
| <u>CRIME</u> | - | All crime down 52% Damage down 73% Burglary down 28% Vehicle crime down 80% |
| <u>POLICE CALLS</u> | - | All calls to police down 38% Cost saving to police of £82 per dwelling |
| <u>HOUSING</u> | - | 100% occupancy of available housing Improved ground maintenance & natural surveillance Railings secure site & restrict access Secured access to low-rise flats |
| <u>PUBLIC REASSURANCE</u> | - | Targeting of problem tenants Improved relations with Millbank Court Resumption of council services |

SCANNING

The Problem

The 'Hopwood Triangle' is a small development of 91 individual dwellings set over 5 streets. The dwellings are split between 2 blocks of low-rise flats set over 4 floors accounting for 32 dwellings. The remainder are bedsits, houses and maisonettes throughout the rest of the development (**Appendix B**).

The properties are all owned by Preston City Council and let by their Central Housing Department.

The buildings are set in a triangular shaped parcel of land running between 2 busy arterial roads and bordered by a housing scheme for the homeless known as Millbank Court and is in close proximity to Preston Prison.

In time the buildings have fallen into a state of disrepair with the adjacent grounds, hedges and trees becoming overgrown. Many buildings have fallen victim to damage and at the beginning of 2002 over a third of the properties were unoccupied.

The housing was perceived as being so poor and the area so tarnished in reputation that it became impossible for the Central Housing Department to let the empty premises. Even the most needy of tenants refused to move into the area, one sadly declared "*I might be desperate, but I'm not that desperate*". To combat the threat of damage and squatters to empty properties all the windows and doors were covered with steel shutters to guarantee security. Although necessary this added to the air of neglect and decline. The council's own maintenance and ground staff were too intimidated to visit the area and stayed away.

The area had lost all sense of community with many remaining tenants surrendering their tenancies. Crime and the fear of crime increased with remaining tenants adopting a siege mentality, locking themselves in and turning a blind eye to problem neighbours, anti-social behaviour and criminal activity.

Street prostitutes were using the covered porches outside properties to conduct their business often leaving soiled condoms and used syringes to be found the following morning. Women were approached by Kerb crawlers and the male residents pestered by the prostitutes for 'business'

The supply and misuse of drugs became commonplace and the whole area went into rapid and terminal decline. Many residents blamed Millbank Court residents and their visitors for the problems arising in the first place and the Council and Police for allowing it to happen. Other tenants, legitimate or otherwise, revelled in the opportunity to create nuisance, commit crime and behave in a lawless and anti-social manner with little concern that they would be subject to any enforcement measures.

ANALYSIS

Identification Of The Problem

The 'Hopwood Triangle' borders on to the main road running through the City and is between two other main arterial roads with good communication links and easy foot access to the city centre.

Government grants totalling millions of pounds have been allocated to neighbouring areas which border onto the 'Hopwood Triangle' under the Single Regeneration Budgets (S.R.B.). **(Appendix I)**

The 'Hopwood Triangle' sits directly between these neighbouring areas and yet has not been allocated any funding. This serves as a painful reminder to its residents who feel that their own housing has fallen into a spiral of decline whilst others enjoy something of a renaissance.

At the beginning of 2002 Preston City Council housing department approached the police with certain issues:

- The Council were receiving a number of complaints in relation to crime and anti-social behaviour.
- Tenancies were being surrendered and the council felt they could not attempt to re-let the empty properties until the problems had been addressed.
- Approximately 33% of the properties were unoccupied costing the council approximately £1300 per week.
- Repair and modernisation of unoccupied properties was seen as the only way in which they could hope to re-let the empty properties. With S.R.B. funding properties in the neighbouring areas were undergoing renovation at a cost of £30-£40000 per dwelling. This level of funding was simply not available to the 'Hopwood Triangle'.
- The council hired a company 'Professional Witnesses' to conduct surveillance on the area with Millbank Court providing an empty flat.
- The surveillance showed Millbank court to be a focal point for offenders engaged in anti-social behaviour.

Consultation Between Partners

- Representatives from the Council, Millbank court, residents and the Police met to discuss the current problems.
- All parties were able to give their views and provide valuable information in relation to their perceptions of the problem.
- Enabled the police to achieve a greater understanding of the issues involved.

The police then conducted further analysis using tools and data sources to fully understand the nature, extent and underlying conditions of the problem.

Police Data Recording Systems

A number of Police data recording systems were interrogated which gave some indication of the extent of the problems affecting the area.

Table 2.1 – Crime Figures 2001

| YEAR | HOPWOOD | | | | | | TOTAL |
|------|---------|------|---------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| | BIAD | AUTO | VIOLENT | DAMAGE | THEFT | DRUGS | |
| 2001 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 40 |

- 40 Reported crimes for the year 2001 excluding Millbank Court.
- 66% chance of each dwelling being the victim of crime (based on 33% unoccupied properties).
- Apathetic attitudes to crime and incident reporting suggest actual figures higher.

Table 2.2 - Police Calls to Service 2001 (CRS)

| YEAR | HOPWOOD | MILLBANK | TOTAL | MILLBANK % |
|------|---------|----------|-------|------------|
| 2001 | 429 | 162 | 591 | 27.2 |

- 591 total calls (49.25 per month).
- 162 calls from Millbank Court (27.2% of total calls).
- Provided specific information on times and locations.

Intelligence Reports (INTL)

- Provided evidence of considerable criminal activity.
- Assisted in identifying problem tenants and their visitors and associates.
- Provided further information on locations and times.

Table 2.3 - Arrests/Enforcement 2001(CUSTODY)

| YEAR | HOPWOOD | MILLBANK | TOTAL | MILLBANK% |
|------|---------|----------|-------|-----------|
| 2001 | 19 | 6 | 25 | 24 |

- Provided further information on problem tenants and their visitors and associates.
- Assisted in identifying council and Millbank court tenants involved in criminal activity.
- Showed an element of opportunistic offenders.
- Showed the extent of police enforcement in the area.

These figures are for the purposes of comparison. They include only arrests made in the location and exclude persons living in the area arrested elsewhere and arrests elsewhere for crimes committed at this location.

Table 2.4 - Repeat Victimization 2001

| 2001 | BIAD | AUTO | VIOLENT | DAMAGE | THEFT | TOTALS |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| 13 Newton St | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 12 Edmund St | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 1A Driscoll St | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 29A Hopwood St | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 33A Hopwood St | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| TOTALS | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 14 |

- 5 of the 60 occupied dwellings identified as repeat victims.
- 8.3% against occupancy levels.

Results of the Analysis

Figure 2.1 - Problem Analysis Triangle



Features of the Location

- The estate is made up of 91 individual dwellings. 2 blocks of low-rise flats set over 4 floors account for 32 dwellings. The remaining 59 are made up of a combination of bedsits, flats and maisonettes.
- Set in a small triangular parcel of land situated between 2 busy arterial roads.
- Over the years the buildings have fallen into a state of disrepair and been the victim of damage and arson resulting in many properties being covered with steel shutters to guarantee security.
- 33% of the dwellings are unoccupied.
- The design of the housing and the estate is outdated in terms of 'safer by design' resulting in numerous 'rat-runs' and 'cubby holes' providing concealment of movement.
- Used as a short cut from the city centre for non-residents offering concealment of movement.
- 2 blocks of lock-up garages all of which have fallen into a state of disrepair, been damaged and used for rough sleeping.
- Poorly lit due to the original lighting being in a state of disrepair and not maintained.
- Adjacent grounds, trees and hedges have become massively overgrown.
- Suffers from fly-tipping and litter is prevalent. (**Appendix D**)
- Situated on the main access road into the estate is Millbank Court, a self-contained housing scheme for homeless people.
- The 'Hopwood Triangle' fell outside the criteria for multi-million pound funding for regeneration.
- The area has a reputation for being an area of high crime.

- An empty sheltered accommodation property, Newton House, had recently been sold to private investors and was due for redevelopment into student accommodation.

Features of the Offender

- Council tenants living on the estate involved in criminal activity and anti-social behaviour.
- Visitors and associates of the council tenants attracted to the area.
- Opportunist offenders walking through the area.
- Tenants of Millbank Court involved in criminal activity and problematic behaviour.
- Visitors and associates of Millbank court tenants.
- Street prostitutes working in the area.

Features of the Victim

- Council tenants.
- Millbank Court staff and tenants.
- Members of the public living and working in the area.
- Repeat victims.
- Visitors and associates of council and Millbank Court tenants.
- Council grounds staff.
- High fear of crime.

Root Cause – Spiral of Decline (Appendix C)

As a result of the combination of the continued spiral of decline of the area and an increase in crime and anti-social behaviour there was a complete loss of any community and a very real fear of crime. Residents felt that the council and police had failed to take action to remedy the problems resulting in many residents surrendering their tenancies. Remaining tenants adopted a siege mentality locking themselves in and turning a blind eye to problem neighbours, anti-social behaviour and criminal activity for fear of reprisals. A majority of residents had a deep-rooted fear and resentment towards Millbank Court and its tenants blaming them for the problems arising in the first place.

Project Objectives

From the analysis undertaken a number of project objectives were formulated:

- Reduction in crime – with particular emphasis on property crime.
 - Criminal Damage
 - Domestic Burglary
- Reduction in police calls to service.
- Eradication of street prostitution from the area.
- Securing and reducing points of access to the area
 - Lighting – Repair of existing street lighting, provision of additional external domestic lighting
 - CCTV – Full area coverage and recording facility
 - Fencing/Railings
 - Demolition of lock-up garages
 - Grounds maintenance/Clean up/Fly-Tipping
 - Landscaping – Tree and undergrowth removal and hedge reduction
 - Improved natural surveillance
- Housing – 100% occupancy of available housing.
 - Identification and eviction and/or arrest of problem tenants
 - Ensure vetting of potential new tenants
- Public Reassurance.
 - Tackling fear of crime
 - Tackling Repeat Victimisation
 - Improved relations between Millbank court and council tenants and a greater understanding of their role and responsibilities
 - Neighbourhood Watch
 - Residents Association and Focus Group
 - Reinstatement of council services

RESPONSE

Dealing With The Problem

It was identified that for the project to be a success the residents would have to be engaged at an early stage ensuring that they felt involved and empowered in tackling the issues identified.

It was also identified that a number of the responses would need to be partner led as opposed to police led in order to ensure sustainability and to afford the police an exit strategy. Following an initial survey by the police and discussions with Preston City Council there were three distinct options discussed.

Option 1

- Stock transfer to a Housing Association.
- Demolition of existing housing stock.
- Safer by design re-build.

This was the police preferred option, however, Preston City Council had an obligation to provide a certain amount of housing and having already taken up this option elsewhere in the city were unable to consider this option.

Option 2

- Council were under pressure from a growing waiting list.
- Suggested a 'quick-fix' to all unoccupied dwellings.

It was pointed out by the police that this option would only address a symptom of the problem and not the cause resulting in the area continuing in a spiral of decline. There was insufficient funding to carry out the necessary work and it would also alienate further the existing loyal tenants.

Option 3

- Implementation of a multi-agency partnership problem solving approach.
- The project became known as the 'Hopwood Triangle'.



With the first two options discounted the 'Hopwood Triangle' project was adopted.

Police Driven Responses

Crime Reduction & Safer By Design Survey

- The location was identified in the analysis stage as having a major impact on the problem.
- A crime reduction and safer by design survey was conducted by the community Safety Department of the police in consultation with Preston City Council Housing staff, local residents and the police.
- The results of the survey led to the development of the 'Master plan' for the improvement of the location in terms of:
 - Improved Lighting.
 - Closure of certain footpaths leading into the estate.
 - Fencing/Railings to reduce access points into the estate for greater security (the idea being to have a one-way in and one-way out system).
 - Grounds maintenance, landscaping and clean up.
 - CCTV coverage.
 - Demolition of lock-up garages.

Figure 3.1 – The ‘Master Plan’ (Appendix A – Enlarged Map)



Evidence Gathering for Problem Tenants

- Using police data recording systems problem tenants were identified and evidence of criminal and anti-social behaviour was gathered.
- The police conducted high visibility patrol in the area engaging with residents to assist in the evidence gathering.
- Following close liaison with the Council further evidence gathering was conducted from complaints received by the housing department.

Drug Dealing

- Intelligence was gathered by the police to identify the extent of the problem and to identify who was involved.
- Following liaison with the ‘Nimrod’ team, a department set up specifically to target drug dealing in Preston, a period of enforcement was undertaken on the properties and individuals identified in the intelligence gathering process.


Neighbourhood Watch

- The police identified residents interested in forming a Neighbourhood Watch Scheme and encouraged its formation through discussion on potential benefits.
- The Neighbourhood Watch Co-ordinator from the police community safety department then assisted with its formation.

Tackling Fear of Crime

Tackling the fear of crime cannot be done in isolation. In order for any approach to be successful it needs to take in to account the many and varied social, environmental and personal factors that may be contributing to the fear of crime.

• **Figure 3.2 - Fear of Crime Matrix**



The 'Hopwood Triangle' fell into the high crime and high fear category of the matrix and responses were all aimed at addressing the fear of crime:

Crime Reduction Strategies

- Targeting of individuals involved in criminal and anti-social behaviour.
- Regular communication with the community making them aware of the action being taken.

Community Crime Prevention

- Crime prevention information delivered to all dwellings on the estate.
- Follow-up visits to discuss any issues.

Regeneration of the Neighbourhood

- Crime prevention and safer by design survey.
- Development of the 'Master Plan'.

Repeat Victimization

- Repeat victimisation policy of Preston police.
- Victims graded with Bronze, Silver and Gold response dependent on whether first, second or third instance of being a victim of crime.
- Level of intervention increases with each response.

Community Engagement/Reassurance

- Local Police Community Beat Managers provide a regular presence in the area.
- Maintain consultation with the community on all issues.

Facilitate Empowerment

- Formation of a Residents Association and Focus Group.
- Formation of a Neighbourhood Watch Scheme.
- Encourage a shared ownership of all issues and increased social cohesion.

Street Prostitution

- 'Operation Kerb' and its successor 'Safer Sex Works' are multi-agency problem solving initiatives created to tackle street prostitution in Preston.
- The issues identified in the 'Hopwood Triangle' were specifically addressed as part of these initiatives.

Partner Driven Responses

Following liaison with the Police the following responses were developed with responsibility for delivery falling with the partners.

Preston City Council – Housing Department

- Capital funding required to deliver the 'Master Plan'.
 - Neighbourhood Renewal Fund (N.R.F) identified as most suitable funding stream.
 - Bid for £120000 submitted and was successful.
 - Further £10000 pledged in recognition of project potential.
 - Funding was used to deliver the 'Master Plan' actions: **(Appendix F)**
 - External lighting for all properties.
 - Comprehensive CCTV system.
 - Demolition of lock-up garages.
 - Secured access to low-rise flats – Intercom and passkey.
 - Fencing/Railings throughout estate to reduce use as a thoroughfare.
- (Appendix H)**

The Central Housing Department were also responsible for the following responses:

- Facilitation of residents meetings leading to the formation of a Neighbourhood Forum and the 'Hopwood Residents Association'.
- Provision of training and guidance for the chairperson of the association.
- Following close liaison with residents, development and adoption of a local lettings policy to safeguard the selection process of potential tenants. (**Appendix J**)
- Identification and eviction of problem tenants.

Preston City Council – Parks Department

Despite an absence of many years the Parks Department carried out a survey of the area to identify landscaping requirements to complement actions identified in the 'Master Plan'. Agreement was reached with the head of the Parks Department in consultation with housing staff, local residents and local community beat managers. Actions included:

- Tree removal – Clearing obstructions and line of sight for the provision of CCTV.
- Hedge Pruning – Reduction in height of hedges to facilitate improved natural surveillance.
- Landscaping – Removal of overgrown and neglected borders and hedgerow and turfing of cleared areas and pruning of specimen trees. (**Appendix G**)

Millbank Court

This is a 36 flat housing scheme for homeless persons and families, with a short-stay tenure of 6 months. Given the size of the scheme, the potentially problematic tenants, their families and associates and the quick turnover of tenants it is inevitable that problematic behaviour will be evident. Working in partnership with New Leaf Housing (the scheme's operators) a number of improvements to working practices have been developed to minimise the impact of the scheme on the wider community. These included:

- 24-hour staffing of reception.
- Closure of external access to self-contained flat (No 36) and provision of internal access via the scheme.
- Vetting of tenant visitors at first visit.
- Visitors to show recognised form of identification.
- No visitors allowed after 11pm.
- Overnight visitors to be registered.
- No concealment of face upon entry (e.g. hats, hooded tops).
- Resident's access via intercom after 11.30pm.
- Facilitation of delivery of drugs awareness package developed by local community beat managers to all staff.
- Regular grounds maintenance.
- Improvements to internal phone – Allowing more privacy so tenants will use this phone as opposed to kiosk outside thus preventing kiosk being a focal point.

The scheme has also offered the 'hand of friendship' by providing the hard drive for the CCTV recording system on the 'Hopwood Triangle' and is now the venue for all Hopwood Residents Association meetings.

Litter-Pick Days (Appendix E)

A number of litter-picking days to maintain the grounds maintenance on the estate have been undertaken bringing together all the partner agencies and the residents. This showed that a true community spirit was developing in the area.

Figure 3.3 – Litter Pick Day



ASSESSMENT

Assessing our Approach

The assessment is based on a project life of 2 years with all figures and outcomes having been calculated up to the end of 2003 and set against the initial project objectives.

The situation on the ground has not been static throughout the life of this project as certain responses were delivered at different times. Therefore, we have not been concerned with interim figures and have waited until the responses have been delivered and properties re-occupied.

Assessments have been made on the impact and effectiveness of individual responses, however, this report focuses on the results that have been achieved on the ground. As a result of the combined use of these partnership responses the project objectives have been met and this assessment gives an indication of the overall success of the 'Hopwood Triangle' project.

Table 4.1 – Crime Figures 2001/2003

| YEAR | HOPWOOD | | | | | | TOTAL |
|------|---------|------|---------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| | BIAD | AUTO | VIOLENT | DAMAGE | THEFT | DRUGS | |
| 2001 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 40 |
| 2003 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 19 |

- All reported crime - **53% reduction.**
- Burglary offences - **28% reduction.**
- Damage offences - **73% reduction.**
- Auto crime offences - **80% reduction.**
- Drugs offences - **60% reduction.**

Figure 4.1 – Crime Figures Graph




Table 4.2 - Police Calls to Service 2001/2003

| YEAR | HOPWOOD | MILLBANK | TOTAL | MILLBANK % |
|------|---------|----------|-------|------------|
| 2001 | 429 | 162 | 591 | 27.4 |
| 2003 | 264 | 209 | 473 | 44.1 |

- All calls to the 'Hopwood Triangle' area down 38%.
- Total calls to the area including Millbank Court down %19.96%.
- Cost saving to police of £3193 per annum against a total cost of £5200 per annum (*based on minimum cost calculation of £38.70 per officer per hour assuming on average half hour per incident and one officer attending*).
- Cost adjusted per dwelling shows a saving of £82 per dwelling
 - 2001 £139 per dwelling
 - 2003 £57 per dwelling

It is important to note that occupancy levels have increased over this period with the 33% unoccupied properties now occupied.

With this in mind when viewing crime against occupancy levels:

- 2001 66% chance per dwelling of being a victim of crime
- 2003 21% chance per dwelling of being a victim of crime

Table 4.3 - Arrests/Enforcement 2001 - 2003

| YEAR | HOPWOOD | MILLBANK | TOTAL | MILLBANK % |
|------|---------|----------|-------|------------|
| 2001 | 19 | 6 | 25 | 24 |
| 2002 | 27 | 21 | 48 | 44 |
| 2003 | 24 | 28 | 52 | 54 |

- As a result of the targeting of problem tenants and visitors to the area there naturally followed an increase in police enforcement in this location.
- Increased police enforcement activity can be viewed as a positive result following on from a greater willingness from the community to report crime and criminal activity. Furthermore, the evidence of positive action by the police coupled with crime reduction reassures the community their concerns are taken seriously.

Table 4.4 - Repeat Victimization 2003

| 2003 | BIAD | AUTO | VIOLENT | DAMAGE | THEFT | TOTALS |
|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 13 Newton St | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 16 Newton St | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| TOTALS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |

- 2 of the 91 properties identified as repeat victims.
- 2% against occupancy levels.

Street Prostitution

- There have been no complaints from the Hopwood community about the activities of street prostitutes during 2003.
- Officers are confident that at this time street prostitution has been eradicated from this residential area.
- Measures to monitor and preserve this situation are in place in the form of the multi-agency problem solving POPS plan 'Safer Sex Works'.

Housing – Tenancy Issues

- 100% occupancy of available housing safeguarding council revenue achieved.
- Local lettings policy in place to select suitable tenants.
- 12-month probationary period for all new tenants.
- Modest repairs to damaged properties prior to re-letting funded by housing department.
- Removal of steel shutters on properties throughout estate.
- Identification and subsequent eviction of all original problem tenants achieved.
- Reporting procedures in place to identify any future problem tenants and ensure prompt action to remedy problem or pursue eviction.

Environmental Improvements

- All dwellings fitted with external lighting.
- Damaged street lighting repaired.
- Lock-up garages demolished.
- Improved natural surveillance.
- CCTV – Area fully covered and recorded with 8 new cameras and existing network at Millbank Court.
- Landscaping, hedge trimming and tree removal carried out.
- Installation of intercom and passkey security door system on low-rise flats.
- Installation of high quality 6-foot tall galvanised iron railings enabling:
 - Complete securing of garden space around low-rise flats.
 - Reduction in the number of points of access into the estate.

Public Reassurance

- Establishment of Neighbourhood Watch Scheme.
- Creation of Hopwood Residents Association and Focus Group.
- Reinstatement of council services – Grounds maintenance and caretaker.
- Improved relations with Millbank Court and greater understanding of their roles and responsibilities:
 - Monitoring and recording of CCTV system housed at Millbank Court.
 - All Resident Association Meetings now held at Millbank court.
 - Improvements in procedures and internal phone have meant Millbank Court is no longer a focal point for problematic behaviour.

Resident Questionnaire (Appendix K)

A questionnaire was designed and given out to residents to gauge their perceptions on the impact of the project on the neighbourhood. The questionnaire was deliberately targeted at those tenants who had been resident for at least two years during which the project had been implemented. The questionnaire was split into five distinct categories which closely mirrored the fear of crime matrix. Respondents were able to select from five options when answering ranging from 'Much Better' to 'Much Worse'.

Table 4.5 – Questionnaire Results

| CATEGORY | REPLY | % |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------|
| ENVIRONMENT | Much Better | 25 |
| | Better | 75 |
| | About Same | - |
| NUISANCE & ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR | Much Better | - |
| | Better | 75 |
| | About Same | 25 |
| COMMUNITY SPIRIT | Much Better | 38 |
| | Better | 62 |
| | About Same | - |
| FEAR OF CRIME | Unconcerned | 12 |
| | Slightly Concerned | 38 |
| | Concerned | 50 |
| MASTERPLAN ACTIONS | Much Better | 50 |
| | Better | 50 |
| | About Same | - |

In all categories the results show that the residents feel there has been an improvement in the area. Although the majority of residents are still concerned about crime, there is a definite feeling that progress has been made and the initial high fear of crime is diminishing.

Conclusion


Over a project life of 2 years the 'Hopwood Triangle' has exceeded all expectations and met all project objectives. For modest initial capital funding, savings in policing costs and the securing of Council rental income has been delivered.

The neighbourhood has a dramatically improved sense of community and a greater tolerance and understanding of its neighbours at Millbank Court.

Residents have seen significant reductions in crime and anti-social behaviour as well as physical improvements to their living environment. Through participation in the Residents Association and Neighbourhood Forums they are now empowered to preserve the cohesive and increasingly safe neighbourhood in which they live.

APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A** - The 'Master Plan'
- APPENDIX B** - Council Owned Properties
- APPENDIX C** - Spiral of Decline
- APPENDIX D** - Fly-Tipping
- APPENDIX E** - Community & Partner Engagement
- APPENDIX F** - Master Plan Actions
- APPENDIX G** - Before & After
- APPENDIX H** - Fencing/Railings Securing Access
- APPENDIX I** - S.R.B. Funded Avenham Renewal
- APPENDIX J** - Local Lettings Policy
- APPENDIX K** - Resident Questionnaire



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey Mapping with permission of the controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown Copyright unauthorised. Reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution of civil proceec

APPENDIX B – Council Owned Properties

THE HOPWOOD TRIANGLE

COUNCIL OWNED PROPERTIES



APPENDIX C – Spiral Of Decline

THE HOPWOOD TRIANGLE

SPIRAL OF DECLINE



APPENDIX D – Fly-Tipping

THE HOPWOOD TRIANGLE

FLY-TIPPING



APPENDIX E – Community & Partner Engagement

THE HOPWOOD TRIANGLE

COMMUNITY & PARTNER ENGAGEMENT



APPENDIX F – ‘Master Plan’ Actions

THE HOPWOOD TRIANGLE

‘MASTER PLAN’ ACTIONS



APPENDIX G – Before & After

THE HOPWOOD TRIANGLE

BEFORE

AFTER



APPENDIX H – Fencing/Railings Securing Access

THE HOPWOOD TRIANGLE

FENCING/RAILINGS SECURING ACCESS



APPENDIX I – S.R.B. Funded Avenham Renewal

THE HOPWOOD TRIANGLE

S.R.B. FUNDED AVENHAM RENEWAL



APPENDIX J – Local Lettings Policy



LOCAL LETTINGS POLICY – HOPWOOD TRIANGLE

The Hopwood Triangle Local lettings policy applies only to this area which covers the following streets:

Hopwood St
 Newton St
 South Meadow St
 Driscoll St
 Edmund St

1. The local lettings policy will give priority to applications that have a local connection with the area.

A person has local connection if:

- a) he or she is or was as a matter of choice normally resident in it, or
- b) he or she is employed in the area, or
- c) he or she has family association with the area.

A person is considered a member of a family if he or she is the spouse or partner, or

He or she is a grandparent, parent, child, grandchild, brother, sister, uncle, aunt, nephew or niece of someone resident on the estate, or

He or she is related by marriage, or

He or she is related by half blood.

2. Will contribute to the community, i.e., willing to get involved in the tenants group and will sign up to the estate agreement.
3. Applicants without children will be considered for 2 or 3 bedroom accommodation, priority given to those who have partial custody of children.
4. Age restrictions will apply to ground floor flats in Hopwood St. These ground floor flats will only be allocated to applicants aged 50 or above. Consideration for ground floor flats will also be given to applicants who are disabled or have medical need. This is due to lack of lifts within the building.

APPENDIX K – Resident Questionnaire

THE HOPWOOD TRIANGLE

RESIDENT QUESTIONNAIRE

NAME (Optional) : _____

ADDRESS (Optional) : _____

MALE/FEMALE (M / F) : LENGTH OF RESIDENCY: mths/years

AGE : Under 20 20-25 25-30 30-40 40-50 50-60 Over 60

ETHNICITY : (Please circle)

| DESCRIPTION | DESCRIPTION |
|-------------------------------|--|
| WHITE | BRITISH IRISH OTHER |
| MIXED | WHITE & BLACK CARIBBEAN WHITE & BLACK AFRICAN WHITE & ASIAN OTHER |
| ASIAN OR ASIAN BRITISH | INDIAN PAKISTAN BANGLADESH OTHER |
| BLACK OR BLACK BRITISH | CARIBBEAN AFRICAN OTHER |
| CHINESE OR OTHER ETHNIC GROUP | CHINESE OTHER |
| NOT STATED | NOT STATED |

Please answer the following questions by entering a tick in the box that best represents your answer.

ENVIRONMENT

Do you feel the area has improved / not improved in terms of the following:

1. Litter / Fly-Tipping

Much Better Better About Same Worse Much Worse

2. Graffiti

Much Better Better About Same Worse Much Worse

3. Hedges / Trees / Landscaping

Much Better Better About Same Worse Much Worse

NUISANCE / ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR

Do you feel the area has improved / not improved in terms of the following:

1. Nuisance / Anti-Social Behaviour from other residents

Much Better Better About Same Worse Much Worse

2. Nuisance / Anti-Social Behaviour from passers by

Much Better Better About Same Worse Much Worse

3. Nuisance / Anti-Social Behaviour from Millbank Court

Much Better Better About Same Worse Much Worse

COMMUNITY SPIRIT

Do you feel the area has improved / not improved in terms of the following:

1. Formation of Residents Association

Much Better Better About Same Worse Much Worse

2. Formation of Neighbourhood Watch

Much Better Better About Same Worse Much Worse

3. Adoption of Local Lettings Policy

Much Better Better About Same Worse Much Worse

4. Presence of Police Community Beat Managers

Much Better Better About Same Worse Much Worse

CRIME

Have you been a victim of crime whilst living in the area in the last 2 years?

YES NO

Burglary Damage Theft Assault Car Crime Other

Do you fear becoming a victim of crime?

Very Frightened Frightened Slightly Concerned Concerned Unconcerned

Do you feel safety has improved / not improved as a result of the following work that has been undertaken?

1. External Lighting

Much Better Better About Same Worse Much Worse

2. CCTV

Much Better Better About Same Worse Much Worse

3. Fences / Railings

Much Better Better About Same Worse Much Worse

4. Demolition of Garages

Much Better Better About Same Worse Much Worse

IMPROVEMENTS

Do you have any suggestions as to what could be done to further improve the area?

SUGGESTIONS